## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/702,062	10/30/2000	William J. Flanagan	ET00-001CIP	8312
75	90 06/13/2005		EXAM	INER
Maureen Stret			MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M	
26 Charles Street Natic, MA 01760			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3623	

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	09/702,062	FLANAGAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Susanna M. Diaz	3623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ja	nuary 2005.	,			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-97</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 2-97 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	xaminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	The servined sopies not received				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date 15.	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. In response to Applicant's IDS and Request for RCE filed January 28, 2005, the Examiner has identified prior art that will be applied in an art rejection. However, since the features of the generic claims (identified below) are now subject to an art rejection, a requirement for restriction is currently asserted. The claims are referred to as numbered prior to allowance and include the previous correction under Rule 1.126. Claims 2-97 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
  - Directed toward negotiations software with the details of a security program/function (claims 2-16 and 25-40).
  - Species II: Directed toward negotiations software with the details of a multiple repository manager (claims 17-24 and 41-48).
  - Species III: Directed toward negotiations software with the details of establishing a secure communications path (claims 87-96).
  - **Species IV:** Directed toward negotiations software with the details of international processing software (claims 51 and 70).
  - **Species V:** Directed toward negotiations software with the details of sponsorship software (claims 52 and 71).

Application/Control Number: 09/702,062

Art Unit: 3623

**Species VI:** Directed toward negotiations software with the details of remote website authoring software (claims 53 and 72).

**Species VII:** Directed toward negotiations software with the details of sample quantity ordering software (claims 54 and 73).

**Species VIII:** Directed toward negotiations software with the details of archival software (claims 55 and 74).

**Species IX:** Directed toward negotiations software with the details of integrated database software (claims 56 and 75).

**Species X:** Directed toward negotiations software with the details of commercial transaction software (claims 57 and 58).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 49, 50, 59-69, 76-86, and 97 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 3623

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna M. Diaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-6733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10 am 6 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/702,062 Page 5

Art Unit: 3623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susanna M. Diaz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3623

June 7, 2005